SCOTT N. SCHOOLS (SCBN 9990) 1 United States Attorney 2 3 BRIAN J. STRETCH (CABN 163973) Chief, Criminal Division 4 5 TAREK J. HELOU (CABN 218225) Assistant United States Attorney 6 450 Golden Gate Avenue, Box 36055 7 San Francisco, California 94102 Telephone: (415) 436-7071 (415) 436-7234 Facsimile: 8 Tarek.J.Helou@usdoj.gov 9 10 Attorneys for Plaintiff 11 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 12 13 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION 14 15 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, CR No. 07-00698-MMC 16 17 Plaintiff, STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER EXCLUDING TIME UNDER 18 U.S.C. § 3161 18 v. ALIREZA RAHMANI, 19 20 Defendant. 21 On November 9, 2007, the parties in this case appeared before the Court and stipulated that 22 time from November 9, 2007 through November 16, 2007 should be excluded from Speedy Trial 23 24 Act calculations because defense counsel wishes to view alleged child pornography seized from 25 the defendant. Defense counsel and counsel for the United States must agree to a procedure that 26 satisfies Title 18 U.S.C., Section 3509(m) to allow defense counsel to view those images. The 27 parties represented to the Court that the length of the requested continuance was the reasonable 28 amount of time necessary for effective preparation of defense counsel, taking into account the

1	exercise of due diligence. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(B)(iv). The parties also agreed that the ends	
2	of justice served by this continuance outweighed the best interests of the public and the defendar	
3	in a speedy trial. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(A).	
4		
5	SO STIPULATED:	
6		Respectfully submitted,
7		SCOTT N. SCHOOLS United States Attorney
8		
9	DATED: November 14, 2007	/s/
10		TAREK J. HELOU Assistant United States Attorney
11		
12	DATED: November 14, 2007	/s/
13		CARLYLE VARLACK Attorney for Defendant Alireza Rahmani
14		
15	As the Court found on November 9, 2007, and for the reasons stated above, the Court finds	
16	that the ends of justice served by the requested continuance outweigh the best interests of the	
17	public and the defendant in a speedy trial. The Court also finds that time from November 9,	
18	2007 through November 16, 2007 shall be excluded from Speedy Trial Act calculations for	
19	effective preparation of defense counsel. 18 U.S.C. §3161(h)(8)(A). Failing to grant the	
20	requested continuance would deny counsel reasonable time necessary for effective preparation,	
21	taking into account the exercise of due diligence, and would result in a miscarriage of justice. 18	
22	U.S.C. §3161(h)(8)(B)(iv).	
23		
24	SO ORDERED.	
25		
26	DATED:	
27		THE HONORABLE BERNARD ZIMMERMAN United States Magistrate Judge
28		

Stipulation and [Proposed] Order Excluding Time CR 07-00698-MMC